AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Perentiage Order Pending T-0002222-911989) ECF No. 43, PageID.67 Filed 07/29/11 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
v. Jerrold Marvin Lafitte	Case No. 1:11-cr-00222-JTN	
Defendant		
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail R that the defendant be detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
Part I – I	Findings of Fact	
	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.	
an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in:	
U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta		
any felony that is not a crime of violence but i	involves:	
a minor victim the possession or use of a fireari a failure to register under 18 U.S	m or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon c.C. § 2250	
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presperson or the community. I further find that defende	umption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe ant has not rebutted that presumption.	
Alternat	ive Findings (A)	
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense	
for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et		
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance	stablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.	
	ive Findings (B)	
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap		
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endar	nger the safety of another person or the community.	
Part II – Statement o	of the Reasons for Detention	
I find that the testimony and information submitted at evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	the detention hearing establishes by <a>- clear and convincing	
 Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to ce Defendant has not rebutted the presumption of detention 	·	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	July 29, 2011	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	